"Technology and Sustainable Microfinance for Inclusive Development"

Dr Mohana Bandkar

Associate Professor

HOD of dept of Economics and Additional Vice Principal

Lala Lajpatrai College of commerce and economics

Executive summary:

The convergence of sustainable microfinance and technology signifies a paradigm shift that has the capacity to enable underprivileged communities to gain agency and promote economic growth that is inclusive of all. This abstract explores the intricate correlation between technology and microfinance, investigating the ways in which inventive technological advancements can augment the long-term viability, effectiveness, and influence of microfinance endeavours.

The introduction of digital technologies has brought about a significant transformation in the financial services sector, presenting novel prospects for tackling the obstacles encountered by conventional microfinance models. This research article investigates the potential applications of technology in microfinance, including data analytics, block chain, and mobile banking, to optimise operations, reduce transaction costs, and increase accessibility for underserved populations.

Furthermore, by incorporating technology into microfinance, the financial inclusion of individuals residing at the base of the economic pyramid could be significantly increased. Digital platforms facilitate the provision of financial services from a distance, thereby enabling rural and remote residents to engage with formal financial systems. Enhanced inclusivity not only confers economic empowerment upon individuals but also fosters socio-economic progress on a larger scale.

The notion of sustainability within the realm of microfinance extends beyond ecological factors to incorporate socioeconomic aspects. The goal of sustainable microfinance is to produce long-term beneficial effects on the welfare of both customers and communities. This study examines the potential of technology to enable microfinance institutions to measure and monitor social and environmental performance metrics in a unified fashion, thereby promoting sustainability as a whole.

Moreover, the article examines case studies and exemplary approaches from various countries that illustrate the effective incorporation of technology into microfinance endeavours. It emphasises the insights gained as well as the obstacles encountered. It proposes strategies to mitigate the risks associated with the digitization of microfinance,

including data security concerns and the potential exclusion of technologically illiterate populations.

In summary, this abstract asserts that in order to promote inclusive development, it is crucial that technology and sustainable microfinance work in tandem. Policymakers, financial institutions, and technology providers can collaborate to devise and execute efficacious strategies that advance financial inclusion, environmental stewardship, and enduring socio-economic consequences for the benefit of the most vulnerable populations globally by harnessing innovative solutions.

List of Key Terms: Sustainable microfinance, Inclusive development, Digital technologies, Blockchain, Financial inclusion, Socio-economic development, Technological illiteracy.

Objectives of Research:

- To understand people's opinion about How can Technology and Sustainable Microfinance be useful in removing hurdles in Inclusive Development.
- 2. To understand how far the technology will be able to assist Sustainable Microfinance in achieving Inclusive Development

Review of literature:

Sen, Mitali (2008) investigated the efficiency of public policy measures for quickly growing microfinance institutions. The study found that even financially self-sufficient MFIs had a high equity-to-asset ratio. Support for MFIs can considerably impact their performance and social worth. The study stated that MFIs should be supported because of their informational advantage and ability to help society more efficiently than other financial institutions.

Sharma, Pushpa (2008) investigated the financial sustainability of Nepalese Microfinance Institutions from 2004 to 2006. Nepali hill and terai data was acquired via a particular survey. Operating performance, staff productivity, and portfolio quality measured microfinance institution sustainability. Sampled MFIs were weak on sustainability during the research. The location of PI-MFIs was better than GI-MFIs.

Agarwal, Pankaj K. and Sinha, S. K. (2010) investigated the financial performance of Indian microfinance organisations, focusing on sustainability. A sample of 22 five-star MFIs and mix market data were studied in 2008. The financial performance was analysed using six parameters: financial structure, revenue, expense, efficiency, productivity, and risk.

Pandey and Bi Zohra (2011) examined Indian commercial banks and microfinance firms' financial performance. Based on MIX ratings, 24 MFIs' data was obtained from MIX and other sources. ANOVA was used to analyse capital sufficiency, debt equity, return on assets, net profit margin, and operating expenditure to assets ratio. Microfinance institutions have improved greatly with bank and government support, which will help India achieve its goal of poverty reduction and financial inclusion.

Roy, A. (2011) Checked Indian microfinance institution profitability and service. Four delivery mechanism characteristics (collateral requirement, loan amount, payback duration, and MFI loan purpose) and two profitability parameters (return on equity and return on assets) were employed for correlation and descriptive analysis. Assam microfinance institutions have increased profitability and superior service.

Research Methodology:

The "Technology and Sustainable Microfinance for Inclusive Development" study's research methodology entails gathering raw data from 50 participants via Google Forms. The study's main goal is to find out how the use of technology in microfinance affects sustainability and accessibility. Graphical models like graphs and charts will be used to analyse the collected data and draw conclusions.

Research Design: This research follows a quantitative research design to systematically collect and analyze numerical data. Employing an organised form sent through Google Forms makes it possible to collect data quickly and easily, making statistical analysis easier.

Tool for Collecting Data: A structured questionnaire housed on Google Forms will be used to collect the main data. The survey will ask people about how they feel about the use of technology in microfinance, how it affects sustainability, and how open financial services are to everyone.

Limitations of the study

The study may only be able to look at a small group of 50 people, which could make the results less applicable to other situations.

Introduction:

In a time of unimaginable technological progress and a global call for sustainable development, the meeting point of technology and microfinance stands out as a strong force for economic progress that benefits everyone. When these two areas come together, they create a one-of-a-kind chance to change the way financial inclusion works, especially for overlooked and marginalised groups around the world. With the title "Technology and Sustainable Microfinance for Inclusive Development," this paper starts a deep look at how technology-driven innovations and the principles of sustainable microfinance work together, focusing on how they can contribute to fair and inclusive growth.

Microfinance and its growth have become an important way to fight poverty and give people more economic power. As persistent global problems like income inequality, financial exclusion, and environmental sustainability worries rise, the case for combining technology with sustainable microfinance seems stronger than ever.

FinTech, or financial technology, is on the rise:

Today, technology is used in every part of life. With the rise of Financial Technology (FinTech), the financial sector is going through a paradigm shift. We will talk about how FinTech has changed standard financial services and how it could change the microfinance sector in this part. The opening lays the groundwork for understanding how key technology enablers like mobile banking, digital payment systems, and blockchain can make microfinance services more accessible, efficient, and open to everyone.

Sustainable microfinance looks at more than just how well the business can make money. It also looks at social, economic, and environmental factors. This part explains what sustainable microfinance is and how it works. It stresses that sustainable microfinance is an all-around method that aims to give people and groups power while also encouraging environmental responsibility. To meet these sustainability goals, the opening talks about how technology needs to be used in a balanced way. This is to make sure that progress doesn't come at the cost of social and environmental responsibility.

Role of technology in sustainable Microfinance for Inclusive Development

By solving major challenges and capitalising on available opportunities, the incorporation of technology into sustainable microfinance practices has the potential to greatly improve inclusive development. Sustainable microfinance for equitable growth can be supported by technology in a number of ways.

Better accessibility and reach:

Digital Platforms:

Technology makes it possible for financial services to be offered through digital platforms. This lets microfinance institutions (MFIs) reach people in rural and neglected areas. Mobile banking, for example, makes it easy for people in faraway places to receive and handle their money.

Agent Banking:

Using technology-based agent banking networks makes it possible to set up service points in places that don't have standard banking infrastructure. This helps rural and underserved communities get access to financial services. Transaction Fees Reduced: Digital Transactions: Technology makes digital transactions possible, which saves money by cutting down on the need for real equipment and paperwork. Financial services become more accessible and sustainable as a result of the lower transaction costs for both microfinance organisations and customers. Blockchain Technology: Using blockchain technology can make deals faster and safer, cutting down on the need for middlemen.

Big Data Analytics:

New technology lets us look at huge amounts of data to get a better idea of someone's reputation. Big data analytics and machine learning algorithms can look at past

transactions, social data, and other relevant data to make strong credit score models for microfinance clients. Remote Sensing and Satellite Data: In microfinance that focuses on agriculture, remote sensing and satellite data can be used to look at things like food health, weather factors, and possible risks. This knowledge helps with managing risk and making sure that financial goods meet the needs of rural areas.

Financial Education and Giving People Power:

E-Learning Platforms:

Technology makes it easier to make e-learning platforms that teach microfinance clients about money. These platforms can have teaching courses on how to make a budget, save money, and start a business. This gives users the information they need to make smart financial choices. Mobile Apps: Interactive material and tools for budgeting, making goals, and planning your finances can be found in mobile apps that can help people learn about money. These kinds of apps can be changed to fit the wants and reading skills of the people who will be using them.

Financial Product Diversification

Digital wallets and payment systems:

Technology has made it possible for digital wallets and payment systems to be created, which make it easy for customers to send and receive money. This improves the effectiveness of microfinance operations and creates opportunities for the launch of a wide range of financial products, including insurance and savings products, in addition to standard loans.

Cryptocurrencies and Blockchain:

Cryptocurrencies and blockchain can be used in some situations to make international transfers easier and give people who can't use standard banking systems access to financial services.

Monitoring the effects on the environment and on people:

Data Analytics for Impact Assessment:

Technology makes it possible to keep an eye on and rate the effects of sustainable microfinance programmes on society and the environment. Key performance indicators (KPIs) for reducing poverty, promoting gender equality, and protecting the environment can be tracked with data analytics tools.

Blockchain for Transparency:

Using blockchain technology can make the way money is spent and distributed more open. It does this by keeping a record of all financial activities and project results that can be checked.

So using technology in smart ways to make microfinance more sustainable could completely change the field and make financial services easier to get, cheaper, and more useful. By utilising technology, inclusive development is not only possible, but it is also sustainable, promoting economic freedom and resilience in neglected areas.

Data collection and analysis

To attain the objectives data collection and its analysis was undertaken.

Profile of respondents



1. Gender

Out of 60 respondents 24 respondents i.e., 40% are males and 36 respondents i.e. 60% are female.

2. Age



The respondents belong to almost all the age groups, so we can say that the samples represented people from all the age groups

Data collected and analysed.

1. Major obstacles in maintaining the sustainability of financial inclusion initiatives.

Gender /	Infrastructure	Lack of	Lack of	Financial	Shortage	Total
Obstacles		awareness	Govt	Illiteracy	of	
			support		Funding	
Male	7	0	5	6	2	20
Female	7	5	8	4	6	30
Total	14	5	13	10	8	50



A look at some of the things that might make microfinance not sustainable is shown in the table. These are Infrastructure, Lack of Awareness, Lack of Government Support, Financial Illiteracy, and Shortage of Funding. The table shows the number of these obstacles or how hard they are for both men and girls. Here's how the table can be understood in terms of possible problems that could make microfinance less sustainable:

Infrastructure: When it comes to infrastructure, both men and women face the same problems, with a count of 7. This could mean that there are problems, like not having enough physical and technical tools to run microfinance businesses well.

Males don't see lack of awareness as a big problem (count of 0), but females do (count of 5). This shows that women may have trouble raising awareness of the microfinance services, products, or opportunities that are offered.

Support from the Government: Both men and women see a lack of support from the government as a problem, but women say it more often (8) than men do (5). This shows that there are problems because there aren't any policies, rules, or government-backed programmes that help microfinance last.

Financial Literacy: Males (counting 6) say that not knowing how to handle money is a bigger problem than for women. This suggests that men may have problems due to their ignorance of financial issues, which can make microfinance programmes less likely to succeed. Funding Shortage: Males (count of 2) think that a lack of funding is a less serious problem than women (count of 6). This shows that women may have bigger problems getting access to financial resources, which is very important for microfinance businesses to stay open.

So, the table shows some of the problems that might make microfinance less sustainable. It also shows how men and women see these problems differently. If we want microfinance programmes to last and work well, especially when it comes to promoting financial inclusion and equality, we need to get rid of these problems.

2. Possible solutions Technology will be able to provide for Sustainable Microfinance in achieving Inclusive Development

	Job creatio n	Instan t credit	Skill developmen t	Women Empowermen t	Tota I
Male	5	9	5	1	20
Femal e	7	12	7	4	30
Total	12	21	12	5	50



The table seems to be a review of possible ways to make microfinance more sustainable. The answers are broken down into four groups: creating jobs, giving

instant credit, improving skills, and giving women more power. In the table, you can see how many of these options there are for each gender. From the point of view of different ways to get around problems in microfinance sustainability, here's how the table can be understood:

Males: 5; Females: 7 jobs were created.

Job development is seen as a possible answer by both men and women, though women say it a little more often. This shows that creating jobs is seen as an important way to make microfinance more sustainable.

For Males: 9 For Females: 12 Instant Credit

Instant credit is seen as a big problem by both men and women, but women say it's more important. This means that making loans easy to get and quick is seen as important for solving problems that make microfinance less sustainable.

Males: 5, Females: 7, and Skill Development:

Skill growth is seen as an answer by both men and women, though women say it a little more often. It seems that training and education are seen as ways to improve the long-term viability of microfinance by making people smarter.

Women's Empowerment: Males: 1, Females: 4, and

Empowering women is seen as an answer by both men and women, but women say it a lot more often. These examples show that it is understood that giving women more power in different areas helps microfinance programmes last.

Conclusion:

Although we see lot of challenges in success of microfinance sustainability in removing poverty this study has focused on some possible ways to make microfinance more sustainable, focusing on the significance of creating jobs, offering quick loans, improving skills, and giving women more power. The different counts between men and women show that they have different ideas about what works as an answer. Using these ideas could help make microfinance programmes better and last longer, especially when it comes to supporting economic freedom and openness.

References:

- Agarwal, H.N., (2004), A Portrait of Nationalised Banks (A Study with Reference to Social Oblizations), Onter India Publication, Delhi.
- Basu, S.K. (2005), A Review of Current Banking E-Theory and Practice, Macmillan India.
- Charan, D. Wadhva, (2002), *Rural Bankfor Rural Development*, McMillan of India Company Ltd., New Delhi.
- Chatterjee, A. K. (2002), *Management Techniques of Bank Lending*, Himalaya Publishing House, Bombay.
- Chawla, D. and Sondhi, N., (2011), *Research Methodology: Concepts and Cases*, Vikas Publishing House Pvt Ltd, Noida.
- Dadhich, C.L., (2006), Overdues in Farm Co-operative Credit, Popular Prakashan (P) Deccan Village, Sterling Publishers (P) Ltd., New Delhi.
- Das, D. and Tiwari, R. K., (2012), *Fundamentals of Microfinance. India,* Global Publishing House, New Delhi.
- Desai, S.S.M., (2004), Rural Banking in India, Himalaya Publishing House, Bombay.
- Desai, Vasant, (2009), *Indian Banking Nature and Problems*, Himalaya Publishing Home, Bombay.